

**From:** [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)  
**To:** [Martinez, Jacquelynn](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments re proposed CrR 4.11  
**Date:** Monday, April 24, 2023 4:21:15 PM

---

---

**From:** Joseph, Jennifer <Jennifer.Joseph@kingcounty.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 24, 2023 4:19 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>  
**Subject:** Comments re proposed CrR 4.11

**External Email Warning!** This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

I am writing to oppose the proposed CrR 4.11 and CrRLJ 4.11, for all of the reasons expressed by Judges Angelle Gerl and Jim Rogers. The judges highlight a number of problems with the proposed rules, not the least of which is its lack of clarity regarding remote proceedings, failure to permit notice via 20<sup>th</sup> century technologies like email (or text), failure to address actual notice by signature of the defendant, and conflict with CrR/CrRLJ 3.3(f)(1) and 3.4. Additionally, the rule's proponents focus on the need of defense counsel to avoid violating the Rules of Professional Conduct by representing whether they provided their client with notice of the required court appearance. As the judges point out, this concern is itself unfounded.

The Supreme Court should not adopt the proposed rules.

Respectfully,

**Jennifer Joseph** (she/her)

Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

WAPA Appellate Resource Attorney

King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office

516 3rd Avenue | Seattle | WA | 98104

Office: (206) 477-9530

Email: [jennifer.joseph@kingcounty.gov](mailto:jennifer.joseph@kingcounty.gov)